LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY

OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 6305

NOTE PREPARED: Apr 1, 2013

BILL NUMBER: HB 1006

BILL AMENDED: Apr 1, 2013

SUBJECT: Various Changes to the Criminal Code.

FIRST AUTHOR: Rep. Steuerwald BILL STATUS: CR Adopted - 2nd House

FIRST SPONSOR: Sen. Steele

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

X DEDICATED FEDERAL

Summary of Legislation: (Amended) This bill has the following provisions:

- A. It makes various changes to the criminal code, including changes to the law concerning community corrections, probation, sentencing, probation funding, drug and alcohol program funding, involuntary manslaughter, communicable disease crimes, battery, hazing, obstruction of traffic crimes, interference with medical services crimes, kidnapping, confinement, criminal mischief, railroad mischief, computer crimes, theft, deception and fraud crimes, timber spiking, offenses against general public administration, criminal gang activity crimes, stalking, offenses against public health, child care provider crimes, weapon crimes, drug crimes, protection zones, and rape.
- B. It repeals the law concerning criminal deviate conduct, and consolidates the crime of criminal deviate conduct into the crime of rape.
- C. It changes the phrase "deviate sexual conduct" to "other sexual conduct".
- D. It repeals laws concerning carjacking, and failure of a student athlete to disclose recruitment.
- E. It removes the current four-level felony penalty classification and replaces that classification with a six-level felony penalty classification.
- F. It assigns new felony penalties to each crime.
- G. It urges the Legislative Council to require an existing study committee to evaluate the criminal law statutes in IC 7.1 and IC 9 and to make recommendations to the General Assembly for the modification of the criminal law statutes in those titles.
- H. It makes technical corrections and conforming amendments.

(The introduced version of this bill was prepared by the Criminal Code Evaluation Commission.)

Effective Date: July 1, 2013; July 1, 2014.

<u>Explanation of State Expenditures:</u> (Revised) <u>Summary</u>— The sentencing changes in this bill are estimated to reduce the prison population in the next biennium and through 2020. The following table summarizes the provisions in the bill. (The following table has been revised to reflect the reclassification of marijuana offenses.)

	Su	mmary	of Fisca	l Effects	of HB	1006				
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2025	2030	2035
nders	Reclassification, Recalculating Release Date Based on Earned Credit Time	112	(680)	(1,317)	(1,536)	(1,673)	(1,119)	989	970	1,146
Offenders Affected	Earned Credit Time Cap 4 Years to 2 Years		58	58	58	58	58	58	58	58
Total 1	Effect on DOC Facilities	112	(622)	(1,259)	(1,478)	(1,615)	(1,061)	1,047	1,028	1,204
Estimated Marginal Costs (\$8.86/day)		\$0.36	(\$2.01)	(\$4.07)	(\$4.78)	(\$5.22)	(\$3.43)	\$3.39	\$3.32	\$3.89
Cost in	County Jails (\$35/day)	\$1.4	(\$8.0)	(\$16.1)	(\$18.9)	(\$20.6)	(\$13.6)	\$13.4	\$13.1	\$15.4
	evenues from Transfer from ond Fund	0.03	0.21	0.03	0.21	0.03	0.21	0.03	0.21	0.03
	oriation for on Improvement Fund			Disc	retion of	General	Assembly	y		
Chief and Deputy Chief Probation Officers		\$13.2	\$13.5	\$14.0	\$14.9	\$16.1	\$17.8	\$21.7	\$29.1	\$43.3
Estimate Based on Marginal Costs		\$13.5	\$11.2	\$9.9	\$9.9	\$10.8	\$14.1	\$25.0	\$32.3	\$47.2
Estima	nte Based on County Jails	\$14.6	\$5.3	(\$2.1)	(\$4.2)	(\$4.6)	\$4.0	\$35.0	\$42.1	\$58.7

Additional Information-

(Revised) *Reclassifying Four Felony Classes to Six Felony Levels and Recalculating Release Date*— The following table shows the proposed changes in sentencing. Class A felonies would become either Levels 1 or 2, and Class B felonies would become either Levels 3 or 4.

	Felony Class				Felony Level				
	Minimum	Advisory ¹	Maximum		Minimum	Advisory ¹	Maximum		
				1	20 years	30 years	50 years		
A	A 20 years 30 years	50 years	2	10 years	17 years	30 years			
	_				3	3 years	6 years	20 years	
В	6 years	10 years	20 years	4	2 years	4 years	12 years		
С	2 years	4 years	8 years	5	1 years	2 years	6 years		
D	6 months	1.5 years	3 years	6	6 mos.	1 year	30 months		

¹An advisory sentence is a guideline sentence that the court may voluntarily consider as the midpoint between the maximum sentence and the minimum sentence (IC 35-50-2-1.3).

Based on the changes proposed in the previous table, LSA assigned felony classes to felony levels as shown in the table below as an illustration of how these offenders might be distributed. The number of offenders are based on the annual average of full-time equivalent offenders who were committed to the DOC between CY 2008 and CY 2012. This is the same data base that DOC uses in making predictions in its model.

В	Full-Time Equivalent Offenders Affected Based on Average Annual Commitments Between CY 2008 and 2012							
			Curre	nt Felony	Class			
	Class or Level	Class A	Class B	Class C	Class D	Murder	Grand Total	
-	1	134					134	
Proposed Felony Level	2	134	227				361	
ny]	3	74	581				655	
Felo	4	59	399	138			596	
sed	5	2	1,062	2,009	342		3,415	
odo.	6		1	89	2,613		2,703	
Pr	Misdemeanor				603		603	
	Murder					75	75	
	Grand Total	403	2,270	2,236	3,558	75	8,542	

(Revised) Estimating the Changes in Offender Population – To estimate how this bill might affect DOC's offender population, LSA used commitment data between CY 2008 and CY 2012 as the basis of this analysis. LSA categorized offenders by felony class and offense (example – Class A Robbery) and assigned these categories as felony levels based on the levels specified in the bill (as in this example – Level 2 Robbery). In some cases, the bill adds new felony levels for drug offenses and theft. In these cases, LSA assumed that there was an even distribution between the various levels. [Examples of how these assignments were made for various drug offenses and theft crimes are shown in a later section of this fiscal note.]

Under current law and as proposed by this bill, offenders would receive time off of their incarceration for complying with facility rules and regulations (good time credit). LSA factored into the release date the amount of added time that offenders would spend in DOC facilities based on the changes in good time credit according to the following table.

Good Time Credit By Credit Class							
	lers Convicted June 30, 2014	Offenders Convicted After June 30, 2014					
Class I	one day for each day served	Class A	one day for every three days served				
Class II	one day for every two days served	Class B	one day for every six days served				
Class III	no credit time	Class C	no credit time				
Class IV	one day for every six days served	Class B	one day for every six days served				

While exact sentencing patterns cannot be predicted, LSA multiplied the ratio of the current sentence to the current maximum sentence by the new maximum sentence to estimate what the new sentence would be. The number of offenders is increased by 2% in each ensuing year.

Projected Offender Population Changes Based on All Sentences Being Proportionate									
To Maximum Sentences by Fiscal Year									
	2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2025 2030 203								2035
Change in Population 112 (680) (1,317) (1,536) (1,673) (1,119) 989 970 1,146									

Note on Avoided Costs – On February 20, 2013, DOC's facilities had 29,012 beds and an offender population of 27,647. If the DOC population approaches 29,000 offenders, DOC will likely request money to build a new prison. DOC projects that if no change occurs to current sentencing laws, the DOC population will reach 29,000 by 2020.

LSA uses the Miami Correctional Facility, which has a current bed capacity of 3,188, as an example of the operating costs that the state could avoid. Miami's population on February 20, 2013, was 3,127 offenders and had an operating budget of \$32 M in FY 2013.

LSA estimates that the changes proposed under this bill would permit the offender population to remain under the 29,000 offender level until 2022.

Chief and Deputy Chief Probation Officers (IC 11-13-1-1) – This provision could result in added costs of \$13.17 M. This provision requires each probation department to have both a chief and deputy chief probation officer. The salaries of these probation officers must be fixed by a salary schedule adopted by the county or municipal fiscal body under IC 36-2-16.5-3. The salary schedule must comply with the minimum compensation

requirements for probation officers adopted by the Indiana Judicial Conference under IC 11-13-1-8. The minimum salaries of the chief and deputy chief probation officers must be reimbursed from the state General Fund.

The 2012 minimum salary schedule takes into account three factors: the years of experience for probation officers, the number of probation officers that are supervised in each department, and whether the probation officer has a master's or doctorate degree from an accredited college or university.

Staff at the Indiana Judicial Center surveyed the salaries of probation officers and estimated the added costs to the state General Fund as provided in the following table.

Added Costs for Assuming Salaries of Chief Probation Officers and Assistant Chief Probation Officers for Trial Courts and City and Town Courts								
Trial City and Town Totals Courts Courts								
Chief Probation Officers	\$6,601,314	\$777,494	\$7,378,808					
Assistant Chief Probation Officers	\$5,429,051	<u>\$363,952</u>	\$5,793,003					
	\$12,030,365	\$1,141,446	\$13,171,811					

Probation Improvement Fund (IC 11-13-2.5) – Money for this fund would come from three sources: (1) unspecified appropriations from the General Assembly; (2) money transferred from the bail bond license and runners fee (see below); and (3) donations, gifts, and money received from other sources.

Transfer from Bail Bond License and Runners Fees to the Probation Improvement Fund (IC 27-10-5-1) — This bill would transfer 80% of the revenue collected from the fees and deposited into the Bail Bond Enforcement and Administration Fund to the Probation Improvement Fund. The estimated revenue that would be available will depend on the year involved. Since licenses are renewed every two years, most of the revenue would be transferred in the even-numbered years.

Bail Bond License and Runners Fees FY 2006 - 2012										
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012										
Fees	\$310,260	\$19,294	\$264,726	\$20,177	\$235,296	\$15,037	\$214,659			
Fines	\$500	\$0	\$400	\$2,000	\$17,700	\$20,925	\$2,000			
Total Fees	\$310,760	\$19,294	\$265,126	\$22,177	\$252,996	\$35,962	\$216,659			
80% of Revenue	80% of Revenue \$248,608 \$15,435 \$212,101 \$17,742 \$202,397 \$28,770 \$173,327									

The following table shows the average revenue that would be transferred in odd- and even-numbered years.

Odd Year	\$25,811
Even Year	\$209,108

Judicial Center Drug and Alcohol Programs Fund (IC 12-23-14-17) - Under current law, the Judicial Center

Drug and Alcohol Programs Fund is used to administer, certify, and support alcohol and drug service programs. As proposed, the Indiana Judicial Center could award a grant from the fund to a probation department or a community corrections program to increase substance abuse treatment access for individuals on probation or individuals placed in a community corrections program who are under court supervision and who have been diagnosed with a substance abuse disorder or co-occurring disorder. The Judicial Center would consult with the Division of Mental Health and Addiction and local probation departments or community corrections programs in determining the amounts of the grants. Any mental health and substance abuse counseling services provided by the grants would be provided by certified mental health or addiction providers as determined by the Division of Mental Health and Addiction.

Neglect Causing Death or Serious Bodily Injury (IC 35-50-2-2) — Any added prison population due to the increased stays in prison will depend on the number of offenders who are assigned to Level 1 or Level 2 felonies and the new minimum sentences that they would receive. This provision would likely increase the number of offenders who have extended stays because the offenders would have to be incarcerated for a mandatory minimum time.

To estimate the added number of offenders who might be affected, LSA assumed that in future years a portion of offenders who might otherwise receive a sentence that was less than the mandatory minimum sentence would now serve the mandatory minimum sentence.

Between 2007 and 2012, about 20% of Class A felony offenders received a prison sentence that was less than the mandatory minimum of 20 years (7 out of 36 offenders), while 31% of Class B felons (38 out of 123) received a prison sentence that was less than 6 years. Any added offenders will depend on the sentencing lengths associated with the felony levels.

Earned Credit Time (IC 35-50-6-3.3) – This provision will make five significant changes to how release dates will be affected, likely lengthening the stay of offenders who have accumulated earned credit time.

Under current law, offenders in DOC facilities can receive two types of credit time (called "time cuts") that can reduce their length of stay in DOC facilities. First, under IC 35-50-6-3, offenders in the Class I credit classification can receive up to one day off for each day they are compliant with facility rules ("good time" credit). Second, if they are in the Class I credit classification, they can also receive earned credit time by successfully completing educational programs, vocational and technical programs, substance abuse programs, basic life skills programs, and reformative programs.

The amount of earned credit time that an offender can receive is the lesser of four years or one-third of the person's total applicable credit time. Offenders successfully completing bachelor's degrees can earn two years credit time, and offenders completing associate's degrees can earn one year of credit time.

DOC currently calculates the release date for an offender by first applying the "good time" credit (generally 50%) and then subtracting the earned credit time.

This bill will make five significant changes to how release dates will be affected.

(1) The bill limits the amount of earned credit time that offenders can receive for successfully completing associate's and bachelor's degrees to one and two years, respectively. Consequently, offenders who have completed more than one associate's or bachelor's degree would be limited to receiving either one year of

credit, even if the offender completed more than one associate's degree, or two years, even if the offender completed more than one bachelor's degree.

- (2) The bill increases from six months to one year the amount of earned credit time that offenders can receive for completing one or more career and technical or vocational education programs. This could reduce the length of stay for offenders who enroll in these types of programs.
- (3) The bill specifies that earned credit time is to be directly proportional to time served. Depending on how DOC formulates the rules to implement this section, the length of stay for some offenders may increase.
- (4) The bill changes how earned credit time is to be applied to determine the release date. Currently, any good time credit is subtracted from the length of stay, and then any earned credit time is applied. As proposed, earned credit time would be applied first, and then the good time credit is to be applied. Offenders with no earned credit time will not be affected by this provision. The length of stay of any offenders with earned credit time will be extended, depending on the amount of earned credit that they have available.

[Note: This change in how the earned credit time is applied is reflected in the summary table (*Reclassification*, *Recalculating Release Date Based on Earned Credit Time*).]

(5) The bill limits the maximum amount of earned credit time to the lesser of two years or one-third of the person's total applicable credit time. The current limit on earned credit time is the lesser of four years, or 1,460 days, or 1/3 of the person's applicable credit time. [Note: This change in how the earned credit time is applied is reflected in the summary table (*Reclassification, Recalculating Release Date Based on Earned Credit Time*).]

Applicable credit time is considered the total amount of earned credit time. Consequently, this provision would increase the length of stay of offenders who currently have more than two years of credit time.

DOC reported that between the 2010 and 2012 fiscal years, 171 of the offenders who were released accumulated more than two years of earned credit time. Consequently, roughly 57 offenders each year would be released at a later date. In the following table, a summary of the amount of earned credit time compiled illustrates the average amount of credit time earned by offenders between FY 2010 and 2012.

Time	Time Cuts Earned by Offenders Released from DOC Facilities Between CY 2010 and 2012								
Offenders NOT Affected by Reducing the Maximum from 4 years to 2 years				Offenders Affected by Reducing the Maximum from 4 years to 2 years					
30 Or Fewer	Between 30 and 60	Between 61 and 90	Between 91 and 183	Between 184 and 365	Between 366 and 730	Between 731 and 1,095	731 and 1,096 and Or		
23,746	375	2,083	5,863	2,480	1,761	124	44	3	36,479
36,308					171				
65%	1%	6%	16%	7%	5%	0%	0%	0%	100%

Examples of How Offenses Could be Reclassified - Since this bill significantly changes both drug and theft

penalties, this portion of the fiscal note describes in more specific terms the assumptions that LSA made when determining how these offenses would be changed.

(Revised) *Drug Thresholds (IC 35-48)* – This provision changes the enhancing circumstances and the weights of drugs to determine the felony level. Overall, the enhancing circumstances in this bill make the following changes:

- (1) The enhancement could be applied when persons under 18 years of age can be reasonably expected to be present in either the park or the school property.
- (2) The distance in the protective zones for schools and public parks would be reduced from 1,000 feet to 500 feet.
- (3) Family housing complexes and youth program centers would not be included in the protective zone.

The following table compares and contrasts the current enhancements with the enhancing circumstances in the proposed bill.

Enhancing Circumstances as Factor in Determining Enhancement for a Drug Offense (IC 35-48-1-16.5)							
<u>Circumstance</u>	In Existing <u>Law?</u>	In Proposed <u>Bill?</u>					
Prior conviction for dealing in a controlled substance that is not marijuana, hashish, hash oil, salvia divinorum, or a synthetic drug	Limited to marijuana	Yes					
On a School bus	Yes	Yes					
Dealing to a Person under 18 or at least 3 years junior	Yes	Yes					
Manufacturing or financing the manufacture of drug	Yes	Yes					
Distance from school property or public park	1,000 feet	500 feet					
Time Element	No	Offense occurred while person under 18 reasonably expected to be present					
Distance from family housing project or youth program center	1,000 feet	No					
"Drug offense" means a felony or misdemeanor involving the production, delivery, sale	e, or possession of a	controlled substance.					

The following tables describe in further detail the drug weights that are proposed by this bill as compared to current law.

Proposed Changes in Drug Dealing and Manufacturing Offenses for Cocaine, Methamphetamine, and Schedule I, II, or III Controlled Substances (IC 35-48-4-1, 1.1, and 2)						
		Average N	lumber of Of	fenders Affected 1		
	Felony Class	Felony Level	Involving Meth	Involving Cocaine	Involving Schedule I, II, III	
Less than 3 grams	Class B	Level 5	256	606	220	
Between 3 and 10 grams or less than 3 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class A	Level 4	10	53	7	
Between 10 and 28 grams or between 3 and 10 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class A	Level 3	10	53	7	
More than 28 grams or between 10 and 28 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class A	Level 2	10	53	7	
Manufacturing meth and causing explosion that results in serious bodily injury	Class A or B	Level 2	unknown			
¹ Based on commitments between	CY 2008 and 20	12.				

Proposed Changes in Drug Dealing and Manufacturing Offenses for Schedule IV Controlled Substances (IC 35-48-4-3)							
	Felony Class	Felony Level	Offenders Affected ¹				
Less than 3 grams	Class C	Level 6	29				
Less than 3 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class B	Level 5	unknown				
Between 3 and 10 grams or less than 3 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class B	Level 5	2				
Between 10 and 28 grams or between 3 and 10 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class B	Level 4	2				
More than 28 grams or between 10 and 28 grams and enhancing circumstance Class B Level 3 2							
¹ Based on average number of commitments between	een CY 2008 and	2012					

Proposed Changes in Drug Dealing and Manufacturing Offenses for Schedule V Controlled Substances (IC 35-48-4-4)					
	Current Law	Proposed	Offenders Affected ¹		
Less than 3 grams	Class D Felony	Class A Misdemeanor	2		
Between 3 and 10 grams or less than 3 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class B Felony	Level 6 Felony	1		
Between 10 and 28 grams or between 3 and 10 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class B Felony	Level 5 Felony	1		
More than 28 grams or between 10 and 28 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class B Felony	Level 4 Felony	1		
¹ Based on average number of commitments between CY 2008 and 2012					

Proposed Changes in Possession Offenses for Cocaine or Methamphetamine (IC 35-48-4-6, 6.1)				
	Current Law	Proposed	Offenders Affected	
Less than 3 grams	Class D	Level 6		
Less than 3 grams and enhancing circumstances	Class C or B	Level 4 or 5	minimal number	
Between 10 and 28 grams or between 3 and 10 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class A	Level 4		
More than 28 grams or between 10 and 28 grams and enhancing circumstance	Class A	Level 3		

Proposed Changes in Possession Offenses for Schedule I, II, III, IV Controlled Substances (IC 35-48-4-7)					
	Current Law	Proposed	Offenders Affected ¹		
Any amount	Class D Felony	Class A Misdemeanor	265		
Any amount and enhancing circumstances	Class C	Level 6	46		
¹ Based on average number of commitments between CY 2008 and 2012					

Theft Thresholds (IC 35-43-4-2) — Under current law, stealing any item can result in a Class D felony. As proposed, this bill would make stealing any item with a value of less than \$750 a Class A misdemeanor. The following table describes in more detail how the new felony levels would be assigned by the value of the item that is stolen.

Value of Item Stolen	Current Law	Proposed	Offenders <u>Affected¹</u>
Less than \$750	Class D Felony	Class A Misdemeanor	549
Between \$750 and \$50,000 or less than \$750 and prior conviction	Class D Felony	Level 6 Felony	549
Between \$50,000 and \$100,000	Class D Felony	Level 5 Felony	549
More than \$100,000	Class C Felony	Level 5 Felony	5
¹ Based on average number of commitments between CY 2008 and 2012			

Death Benefits for Community Corrections Officers (IC 5-10-10-4) – Under IC 5-10-10-6, \$150,000 is to be paid in a lump sum from the Special Death Benefit Fund to the following relative of a public safety officer who dies in the line of duty: (1) the surviving spouse; (2) the surviving children (to be shared equally) if there is no surviving spouse, or (3) the parent or parents in equal shares if there is no surviving spouse and there are no surviving children.

Explanation of State Revenues:

Explanation of Local Expenditures: Consolidation of Community Corrections and Probation (IC 11-12-1-2) — In counties or combinations of counties that have established a community corrections program, a court with authority to impose probation may, with the consent of the community corrections advisory board, establish and operate a consolidated probation and community corrections department.

Chief and Deputy Chief Probation Officers (IC 11-13-1-1) – Staff at the Indiana Judicial Center report that there are currently 107 probation departments under the trial courts and 19 probation departments under the city and town courts.

Penalty Reductions for Marijuana Possession and Certain Crimes of Theft – More individuals will likely be committed to either jails or assigned to probation or community corrections programs if these offenses are reclassified to be misdemeanors rather than felonies. The average number of offenders could be over 1,000, based on commitments to DOC between FY 2007 and 2012.

Explanation of Local Revenues:

State Agencies Affected: DOC; Indiana Judicial Center; Department of Mental Health and Addictions.

<u>Local Agencies Affected:</u> County sheriffs, trial courts, probation offices, community corrections programs.

Information Sources: Department of Correction Offender Information System.

Fiscal Analyst: Mark Goodpaster, 317-232-9852.